## Algebraic K-theory for 2-categories

Niles Johnson joint work with

E. Fontes, N. Gurski, A.M. Osorno, and A. Parab

Department of Mathematics The Ohio State University, Newark

https://nilesjohnson.net

March 2022



### **Abstract**

Quillen recognized the higher algebraic K-groups of a ring R as homotopy groups of a certain topological space,  $BGL(R)^{+}$ . We review some of the basic definitions and computations via categorical algebra. We then describe how a 2-categorical extension of this theory leads to a new model for  $K_3(R)$ , together with more general applications. We will give a mild sampling of key technical details and close with some of the problems we're currently working on. The work we present is joint with Gurski-Osorno. Fontes-Gurski and Parab.

### Outline

- Algebraic K-groups of a ring
  - ► Low-dimensional cases
  - Quillen's higher K-groups
  - Application for K<sub>2</sub>
- 2-categorical analogue
  - Examples of symmetric monoidal 2-categories
  - Generalizations of Quillen's constructions
  - ► Application for K<sub>3</sub>

## Algebraic K-groups of a ring: $K_0(R)$

Ring RProj<sub>f.g.</sub>(R) = finitely-generated projective R-modules (symmetric monoidal category under  $\oplus$ .)

#### Definition

$$K_0(R) = Gr(\text{Proj}_{f,a}(R)/\cong).$$

(Gr = group-completion; known as Grothendieck construction)

Example for field F,  $K_0(F) = \mathbb{Z}$ . Example Application det:  $K_0(R) \to Pic(R)$  is a surjection.

## Algebraic K-groups of a ring: $K_0(R)$

Generalize to Grothendieck group of other symmetric monoidal categories S:

#### Definition

$$K_0(S) = Gr(S/\cong).$$

### Examples:

- $ightharpoonup Proj_{f,a}(R)$
- vector bundles on topological spaces
- representations of finite groups

## Algebraic K-groups of a ring: $K_1(R)$

Let GL(R) be the infinite general linear group

$$GL(R) = \operatorname{colim} \bigl( \cdots \hookrightarrow GL_n(R) \hookrightarrow GL_{n+1}(R) \hookrightarrow \cdots \bigr).$$

(union of groups)

#### Definition

$$K_1(R) = GL(R)^{ab} = GL(R) / [GL(R), GL(R)].$$

Equivalently,

$$K_1(R) = H_1(GL(R)).$$

## Algebraic K-groups of a ring: $K_1(R)$

### Theorem (Localization exact sequence)

Let S be a multiplicatively closed set of central elements in R. Then there is an exact sequence

$$K_1(R) \to K_1(S^{-1}R) \to K_0(\mathrm{Ft}_S(R)) \to K_0(R) \to K_0(S^{-1}R).$$

 $(Ft_s(R) = S$ -torsion R-modules with finite-length projective resolutions)

### Theorem (Fundamental Theorem)

There is an exact sequence

$$0 \to K_1(R) \to K_1(R[t]) \oplus K_1(R[t^{-1}]) \to K_1(R[t,t^{-1}]) \to K_0(R) \to 0.$$

## Algebraic K-groups of a ring: $K_2(R)$

### Lemma (Whitehead)

$$[GL(R), GL(R)] = E(R) = \operatorname{colim}_{n} E_{n}(R)$$

where  $E_n(R)$  is the elementary group generated by elementary  $n \times n$  matrices.

(ones on diagonal; single off-diagonal entry)

### Equivalent definitions

$$K_1(R) = GL(R)^{ab} = GL(R)/E(R) = H^1(GL(R))$$

## Algebraic K-groups of a ring: $K_2(R)$

The Steinberg group, St(R) is generated by formal symbols  $x_{ii}(r)$  for  $r \in R$ , subject to elementary relations.

(products and commutators of elementary matrices)

Note: E(R) generally has more relations than St(R).

#### Definition

$$K_2(R) = \ker(St(R) \to E(R)).$$

### Theorem (Steinberg)

 $K_2(R)$  is the center of St(R). In particular,  $K_2(R)$  is abelian.

### Theorem (Bass)

$$K_2(R) \cong H_2(E(R)).$$

## Properties of low-dimensional *K*-groups

Looking at the definitions, it may be unclear that the groups  $K_i(R)$  are part of any reasonable sequence. Here are some clues.

- Localization exact sequence
- Fundamental Theorems for  $R[t, t^{-1}]$
- $ightharpoonup K_1(R)$  and  $K_2(R)$  are modules over  $K_0(R)$
- ▶ Product  $K_1(R) \otimes K_1(R) \rightarrow K_2(R)$ .

## Quillen's higher K-groups

Definition

$$K_n(R) = \pi_n(BGL(R)^+), \quad n > 0$$

Explanation (Part 1) BG is the classifying space of a group G. (we will take G = GL(R))

BG is the base of a principal G-bundle

$$G \rightarrow EG \rightarrow BG$$

- EG contractible and has free G-action
- ▶ therefore  $BG \simeq K(G, 1)$ , an Eilenberg-Mac Lane space

Example: 
$$B\mathbb{Z} = S^1$$

$$\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R} \to S^1$$

## Quillen's higher K-groups

Definition

$$K_n(R) = \pi_n(BGL(R)^+), \quad n > 0$$

Explanation (Part 2) The plus construction  $X^+$  on a topological space X has two properties:

- $\blacksquare$   $\pi_1(X^+) = \pi_1(X)^{ab} \cong H_1(X)$
- ▶ a map  $X \to X^+$  inducing a homology isomorphism

Thus we certainly have

$$\pi_1(BGL(R)^+) \cong GL(R)^{ab} = K_1(R).$$

## Quillen's higher K-groups

Definition

$$K_n(R) = \pi_n(BGL(R)^+), \quad n > 0$$

Explanation (Part 3) Why is this definition such a good one? (partial answer)

- Extends localization exact sequence and fundamental theorem.
- Explains graded ring structure on  $K_n(R)$ .
- Explains connection between algebraic K-groups and homotopy theory.

## Quillen's higher K-groups: Second version

Let  $Q = Q(Proj_{f.a.}(R))$  be the following category.

- ▶ Objects are those of  $Proj_{f.q.}(R)$
- ► Morphisms  $X \rightarrow Y$  are injection/surjection spans

$$X \stackrel{S}{\sim} Y$$

Composition is via pullback

## Quillen's higher K-groups: Second version

### Definition (Alternate)

$$K_n(R) = \pi_{n+1}(BQ) \cong \pi_n(\Omega BQ) \qquad n \ge 0$$

### Explanation

- BQ is classifying space of a category
- $\Omega X = \operatorname{Map}(S^{1}, X) \text{ for any } X$   $(\pi_{n}(\Omega X) \cong \pi_{n+1}(X) \text{ for } n \geq 0)$
- ightharpoonup  $\Omega BQ$  is a topological group-completion
  - group-completion *Gr* on  $\pi_0$
  - ightharpoonup isomorphism on homology when  $\pi_0$  inverted

The Q-construction is purely algebraic; doesn't rely on plus construction. Iterated Q-construction (Waldhausen's S.) has further structure.

## Quillen's higher K-groups: + = Q

Theorem (Quillen)

$$K_0(R) \times BGL(R)^+ \simeq \Omega BQ.$$

This gives two completely different ways to approach algebraic *K*-theory. Each version has both conceptual and calculational advantages.

Proof sketch:  $+ = S^{-1}S = Q$ 

Let  $S = \text{Proj}_{f.g.}^{\text{iso}}(R)$ . Define localization of categories,  $S^{-1}S$ , and prove

$$K_0(R) \times BGL(R)^+ \simeq BS^{-1}S \simeq \Omega BQ.$$

(We will say more about  $S^{-1}S$ , but not Q.)

### $S^{-1}S$

Let  $S = (S, \otimes)$  be a symmetric monoidal category with

- every morphism invertible, (S is a groupoid)
- ► faithful translations.  $(X \otimes A \cong Y \otimes A \text{ iff } X \cong Y)$

Define new category  $S^{-1}S$  with formal inverses to  $\otimes$ .

- ► Objects (X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>) pairs of objects from S. (formal fractions)
- ► Morphisms  $(X_1, X_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, Y_2)$  equivalence classes of triples  $(A, f_1, f_2)$ : (cancellation morphisms)

$$(f_1, f_2): (X_1 \otimes A, X_2 \otimes A) \to (Y_1, Y_2).$$

Equivalence: (morphisms of cancellation morphisms)  $(A, f_1, f_2) \sim (A', f'_1, f'_2)$  if  $(X_1 \otimes A, X_2 \otimes A) \xrightarrow{(1 \otimes I, 1 \otimes I)} (X_1 \otimes A', X_2 \otimes A')$  $\exists t : A \rightarrow A'$  such that

### S<sup>-1</sup>S

### Examples

- $\triangleright$  S = Proj<sup>iso</sup><sub>f.g.</sub>(R) with  $\oplus$
- ►  $S = \coprod_{n} \Sigma_{n} \simeq \text{FinSet with (block) sum / disjoint union.}$

### Theorem (Quillen, Grayson)

The inclusion  $S \to S^{-1}S$  induces a topological group-completion. That is:

$$BS \rightarrow BS^{-1}S$$
,

- ▶ induces group-completion on  $\pi_0$ ;
- ▶ is a homology localization:

$$H_{*}(BS) \longrightarrow H_{*}(BS^{-1}S) \cong H_{*}(BS)[\pi_{0}(BS)^{-1}].$$

### S<sup>-1</sup>S

Generalization of GL(R): Given a sequence of objects and inclusions

$$\cdots \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{S}(A_{n}) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{S}(A_{n+1}) \hookrightarrow \cdots$$

we have a group  $Aut(S) = colim_n Aut_S(A_n)$ . (This is deceptively simple.)

If (as in our examples), the sequence is cofinal in *S*, one proves

$$BS \to K_0(S) \times BAut(S)$$

is an isomorphism on localized homology  $H_*(\_\_)[\pi_0(BS)^{-1}]$ . (This is another topological group-completion.)

### $S^{-1}S$

Therefore the solid arrows are isomorphisms on  $H_*(\_)[\pi_0(BS)^{-1}]$ 

$$BS \longrightarrow K_0(S) \times BAut(S)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$BS^{-1}S \longrightarrow K_0(S) \times BAut(S)^+$$

By universal property we have the dashed arrow inducing a homology isomorphism. Therefore a homotopy equivalence (of simple spaces)

$$BS^{-1}S \simeq K_0(S) \times BAut(S)^+$$
.

This is half of the + = Q theorem.

## Example: $K_2(R) \cong H_2(E(R))$

We have two homotopy fiber sequences:

$$BE \longrightarrow BAut(S) \longrightarrow P_1 BS^{-1}S_0$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \parallel$$

$$F \longrightarrow BAut(S)^+ \longrightarrow P_1 BS^{-1}S_0$$

- $\triangleright$  BS<sup>-1</sup>S<sub>0</sub> denotes basepoint component
- P<sub>1</sub> denotes first Postnikov truncation
- ightharpoonup E = [Aut(S), Aut(S)] (Note top is homotopy fiber sequence)
- F is defined to be homotopy fiber on the bottom row  $\pi_1(F) = 0$ ;  $\pi_2(F) \cong \pi_2(BAut(S)^+)$
- Vertical maps are homology isomorphisms (by SSS)

$$H_2(E) \cong H_2(BE) \cong H_2(F) \cong \pi_2(F) \cong \pi_2(BAut(S)^+) = K_2(S)$$

## Recap of background

- ► Algebraic *K* groups of a ring connect geometric and number-theoretic information.
  - $ightharpoonup K_0(R) = Gr(Proj_{f.g.}(R))$
  - $ightharpoonup K_1(R) = H_1(GL(R)) = GL(R)^{ab} = GL(R)/E(R)$
  - $ightharpoonup K_2(R) = H_2(E(R))$
  - $K_n(R) = \pi_n(BGL(R)^+).$
- Quillen's "+ =  $S^{-1}S = Q$ "
  - Different but equivalent defintions of higher K groups give different calculational and conceptual information.
  - ► Bridge, S<sup>-1</sup>S, is a categorical construction whose classifying space realizes both + and Q constructions.
  - ▶ Both homotopical and algebraic tools inform computation of  $K_{\downarrow}(R)$ .

## Motivations for higher-categorical algebra

Who could ask for anything more?!

▶ Relative K-theory: Given a map of rings  $f: R \to T$ ,

$$K_n(f) = \pi_n \text{hofib}(BGL(R)^+ \rightarrow BGL(T)^+)$$

- Hermitian K-theory: If R is a ring with involution (e.g. complex conjugation), Hermitian K-theory is defined via homotopy fixed points of BGL(R)\*.
  - Relates to topology of manifolds (e.g. diffeomorphism classes)
  - Relates to motivic homotopy theory
- ► Use Postnikov  $P_2$  to make an algebraic calculation of  $K_3(R)$ ? (Recall  $K_3(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/48 \twoheadrightarrow \pi_3^s = \mathbb{Z}/24$ .)

Are there categories whose classifying spaces compute these?!

## Symmetric monoidal algebra in dimension 2

Symmetric monoidal 2-categories are like symmetric monoidal categories with another level of structure.

(product on objects, morphisms, and 2-morphisms)
(we mean a 2-category that is symmetric monoidal as a *bicategory*)

Example Bimod has objects which are rings; Bimod(R,T) is the category of (R,T)-bimodules.

- ► Tensor product provides "composition" of  $R \xrightarrow{M} T \xrightarrow{N} V$ .
- Tensor product of rings provides symmetric monoidal structure.

Example Cat has objects which are categories; Cat(C, D) is the category of functors and natural transformations.

 Cartesian product of categories provides a symmetric monoidal structure.

## Symmetric monoidal algebra in dimension 2

### Better examples:

- relative constructions
- fixed point constructions
- telescope (colimit) constructions

## S<sup>-1</sup>S for 2-categories

Let S be a symmetric monoidal 2-category and suppose:

- ► all morphisms and 2-morphsisms are invertible (S is a 2-groupoid)
- ► S has faithful translations

### Theorem (Gurski-J.-Osorno)

There is a symmetric monoidal 2-category S<sup>-1</sup>S with

$$S \longrightarrow S^{-1}S$$

inducing a topological group-completion.

- group-completion on  $\pi_0$
- ▶ isomorphism on localized homology

## S<sup>-1</sup>S for 2-categories

### Sketch proof

- ► S<sup>-1</sup>S: same idea as 1-categorical case, but include 2-morphisms instead of equivalence relation on morphisms.
- Use 2-categorical comma construction to analyze fibers. (This took a while to figure out.)
- ► Homology spectral sequence collapses after inverting  $\pi_0(BS)$ . (Just like 1-categorical case.)

## $+ = S^{-1}S$ for 2-categories

Given a sequence of objects and faithful functors

$$\cdots \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{S}(A_{n}) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{S}(A_{n+1}) \hookrightarrow \cdots$$

we have a categorical group  $Aut(S) = colim_n Aut_S(A_n)$ . (monoidal category with objects and morphisms are invertible)

If (as in examples), the sequence is cofinal, we have:

Theorem (80% done; Fontes-Gurski-J.)

$$BS^{-1}S \simeq K_0(S) \times BAut(S)^+$$

Key step Compare colimit of 1-object 2-categories, v.s. 1-object 2-category of colimit (Σ means 1-object "suspension")

$$\operatorname{colim}_n \Sigma \operatorname{Aut}_S(A_n)$$
 v.s.  $\Sigma \operatorname{colim}_n \operatorname{Aut}_S(A_n)$  (1-categorical case completely straightforward)

## Application to $K_3$

### Theorem (In progress; J.-Parab)

There is a commutator subcategory *E* such that the following is a homotopy fiber sequence.

$$BE \longrightarrow BAut(S) \longrightarrow P_2 BS^{-1}S_0$$

### Corollary

$$K_3(R) \cong H_3(BE)$$
 (same method as  $K_2$  calculation using  $P_1$ )

### Conjecture (Fontes)

The commutator category E is a categorification of the Steinberg group.

- St(R) is the universal central extension of the commutator subgroup of GL(R).
- ► Gersten (1973) proved  $K_3(R) \cong H_3(St(R))$  using very different methods.

### Conclusion

# Algebraic *K*-theory for 2-categories joint with

- E. Fontes, N. Gurski, A.M. Osorno, and A. Parab
  - Background on algebraic K-theory
    - $ightharpoonup K_0, K_1, K_2$
    - ► BGL(R)+
    - $+ = S^{-1}S = Q$
  - ► Sketch of 2-categorical K-theory
    - Motivations:  $K_3$ , Hermitian, relative
    - Current issues: colimits of monoidal 1-categories
    - Future plans: understanding the Steinberg group

### Thank You!